

# The 1st International Olympiad of Metropolises

September 2016

## Solutions of day 1

**Problem 1.** Find all positive integers  $n$  such that there exist  $n$  consecutive positive integers whose sum is a perfect square. *(Pavel Kozhevnikov)*

*Answer:*  $n = 2^s m$ , where  $m$  is any odd integer, and  $s$  is either 0 or odd.

Let  $S(n, t) = (t + 1) + (t + 2) + \dots + (t + n) = (2t + n + 1)n/2$ .

For odd  $n$  one may put  $t = (n - 1)/2$  and obtain  $S(n, t) = n^2$ .

Let  $n$  be even,  $n = 2^s m$ , where  $s$  is a positive integer, and  $m$  is odd. It follows that  $2t + n + 1$  is odd. Hence  $2^{s-1}$  divides  $S(n, t)$ , while  $2^s$  does not. This means that for even  $s$  the answer is negative. For odd  $s$  one may put  $t = (mx^2 - n - 1)/2$  for some odd  $x > n$  and obtain  $S(n, t) = 2^{s-1}m^2x^2$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be positive integers satisfying the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Every year, the government of Optimistica publishes its *Annual Report* with  $n$  economic indicators. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the possible values of the  $i$ -th indicator are  $1, 2, \dots, a_i$ . The Annual Report is said to be *optimistic* if at least  $n - 1$  indicators have higher values than in the previous report. Prove that the government can publish optimistic Annual Reports in an infinitely long sequence.

*(Ivan Mitrofanov, Fedor Petrov)*

First we replace each  $a_i$  by a power of 2. For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $k_i$  be the positive integer that satisfies  $2^{k_i} \leq a_i < 2^{k_i+1}$ . Notice that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{k_i}} < \frac{2}{a_i} \leq 1$ .

For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we will choose a residue class  $A_i$  modulo  $2^{k_i}$  in such a way that the classes  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are pairwise disjoint. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_n$ . We choose  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  in this order. The residue class  $A_1$  can be chosen arbitrarily. Suppose that we have already chosen the classes  $A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}$ . In order to find the next class  $A_i$ , we require

a residue modulo  $2^{k_i}$  which is not used in any of  $A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}$ . Notice that for each  $j < i$ , the set  $A_j$  is the union of  $2^{k_i - k_j}$  different residue classes modulo  $2^{k_i}$ . As  $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^{k_i - k_j} < 2^{k_i} \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-k_j} < 2^{k_i}$ , there are unused residues modulo  $2^{k_i}$  which makes it possible to choose the new class  $A_i$ .

Now let us turn to the solution of the problem. For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we will use only the first  $2^{k_i}$  values of the  $i$ -th indicator. In the beginning let all indicators be equal to 1. In the  $y$ -th year, let the  $i$ -th indicator drop to 1 if  $y \in A_i$ , otherwise let the indicator increase by 1. Notice that the  $i$ -th indicator increases at most  $2^{k_i} - 1$  times in a row, then drops to 1, so it never exceeds the bound  $2^{k_i} \leq a_i$  and therefore the values of the indicator form a valid report in every year. Since the residue classes  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are pairwise disjoint, at most one indicator drops in the same year, the reports keep optimistic.  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Let  $A_1A_2\dots A_n$  be a cyclic convex polygon whose circumcenter is strictly in its interior. Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  be arbitrary points on the sides  $A_1A_2, A_2A_3, \dots, A_nA_1$ , respectively, other than the vertices. Prove that

$$\frac{B_1B_2}{A_1A_3} + \frac{B_2B_3}{A_2A_4} + \dots + \frac{B_nB_1}{A_nA_2} > 1.$$

(*Nairi Sedrakyan, David Harutyunyan*)

*Lemma 1.* Suppose that a triangle without obtuse angle is inscribed in a circle of radius  $R$ . Then the perimeter of the triangle is greater than  $4R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $ABC$  be our triangle.

Assume that triangle  $ABC$  is right. Without loss of generality  $\angle B = 90^\circ$  and  $AC = 2R$ . Then  $AB + BC + AC > AC + AC = 4R$ .

Assume that triangle  $ABC$  is acute. Let  $K, L, M$  be the midpoints of the sides  $AB, BC, AC$  respectively. The point  $O$  is the orthocentre of the triangle  $KLM$ , which is acute as well as the similar triangle  $ABC$ . Thus  $O$  lies inside the triangle  $KLM$ . Let line  $MO$  intersect the segment  $KL$  at the point  $P$ . We have  $AB + BC + AC = 2(AK + KL + LC) = 2(AK + KP) + 2(PL + LC) > 2AP + 2PC > 2AO + 2CO = 4R$  (the last inequality uses that the angles  $\angle AOP$  and  $\angle COP$  are obtuse). *Lemma 1 is proved.*

*Lemma 2.* Assume that a polygon is inscribed in a circle of radius  $R$ , and the center of the circle lies inside the polygon. Then the perimeter  $P$  of the polygon is greater than  $4R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_1A_2\dots A_n$  be our polygon. The diagonals  $A_1A_3, A_1A_4, \dots, A_1A_{n-1}$  partition it into  $n - 2$  triangles. The point  $O$  belongs to the interior or the boundary of  $A_1A_iA_{i+1}$ . Now Lemma 2 follows from the Lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (A_1A_2 + \dots + A_{i-1}A_i) + A_iA_{i+1} + (A_{i+1}A_{i+2} + \dots + A_nA_1) \geq \\ &\geq A_1A_i + A_iA_{i+1} + A_{i+1}A_1 > 4R. \end{aligned}$$

*Lemma 2 is proved.*

Let us return to the problem. Let  $R$  denote the circumradius of the circle  $A_1A_2 \dots A_n$ , let  $R_i$  denote the circumradius of  $B_iA_{i+1}B_{i+1}$  (further we suppose  $A_{n+1} \equiv A_1, A_{n+2} \equiv A_2, B_{n+1} \equiv B_1$ ). The sine law yields  $\frac{B_iB_{i+1}}{\sin \angle A_{i+1}} = 2R_i$ ,  $\frac{A_iA_{i+2}}{\sin \angle A_{i+1}} = 2R$ , thus  $\frac{B_iB_{i+1}}{A_iA_{i+2}} = \frac{2R_i \sin \angle A_{i+1}}{2R \sin \angle A_{i+1}} = \frac{R_i}{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_1B_2}{A_1A_3} + \frac{B_2B_3}{A_2A_4} + \dots + \frac{B_nB_1}{A_nA_2} &> 1 \\ \Updownarrow \\ \frac{R_1}{R} + \frac{R_2}{R} + \dots + \frac{R_n}{R} &> 1 \\ \Updownarrow \\ R_1 + R_2 + \dots + R_n &> R. \end{aligned}$$

In the triangle  $B_iA_{i+1}B_{i+1}$  no side can be greater than the diameter of the circumcircle, therefore  $B_iA_{i+1} + A_{i+1}B_{i+1} \leq 2R_i + 2R_i = 4R_i$  and  $R_i \geq (B_iA_{i+1} + A_{i+1}B_{i+1})/4$ . Hence it suffices to prove that

$$R < \frac{B_1A_2 + A_2B_2}{4} + \frac{B_2A_3 + A_3B_3}{4} + \dots + \frac{B_nA_1 + A_1B_1}{4} = \frac{P}{4},$$

but this follows from Lemma 2. □